

# Lecture 18: Encrypting Long Messages

# Objective

- Earlier, we saw that the length of the secret key in a one-time pad has to be at least the length of the message being encrypted
- Our objective in this lecture is to use smaller secret keys to encrypt longer messages (that is, secure against computationally bounded adversaries)

## Recall

- Suppose  $f: \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$  is a one-way permutation (OWP)
- Then, we had seen that the function  $G: \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n+1}$  defined by

$$G(r, x) = (r, f(x), \langle r, x \rangle)$$

is a one-bit extension PRG

- Let us represent  $f^i(x)$  as a short-hand for  $\overbrace{f(\cdots f(f(x))\cdots)}^{i\text{-times}}$ .  $f^0(x)$  shall represent  $x$ .
- By iterating the construction, we observed that we could create a stream of pseudorandom bits by computing  $b_i(r, x) = \langle r, f^i(x) \rangle$  (Note that, if we already have  $f^i(x)$  stored, then we can efficiently compute  $f^{i+1}(x)$  from it)
- So, the idea is to encrypt long messages where the  $i$ -th bit of the message is masked with the bit  $b_i(r, x)$

# Encrypting Long Messages

- Without loss of generality, we assume that our objective is to encrypt a stream of bits  $(m_0, m_1, \dots)$
- $\text{Gen}()$ : Return  $\text{sk} = (r, x) \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ , where  $r, x \in \{0, 1\}^n$
- Alice and Bob shall store their state variables:  $\text{state}_A$  and  $\text{state}_B$ . Initially, we have  $\text{state}_A = \text{state}_B = x$
- $\text{Enc}_{\text{sk}, \text{state}_A}(m_i)$ :  $c_i = m_i \oplus \langle r, \text{state}_A \rangle$ , and update  $\text{state}_A = f(\text{state}_A)$ , where  $\text{sk} = (r, x)$
- $\text{Dec}_{\text{sk}, \text{state}_B}(\tilde{c}_i) = \tilde{m}_i = \tilde{c}_i \oplus \langle r, \text{state}_B \rangle$ , and update  $\text{state}_B = f(\text{state}_B)$ , where  $\text{sk} = (r, x)$
- Note that the  $i$ -th bit is encrypted with  $b_i(r, x)$  and is also decrypted with  $b_i(r, x)$ . So, the correctness holds. This correctness guarantee holds as long as the order of the encryptions and the decryptions remain identical.
- Note that each bit  $b_i(r, x)$  is uniform and independent of all previous bits (for computationally bounded adversaries). So, the scheme is secure against all computationally bounded adversaries